

# SD 204 : Linear model

## Properties of Ordinary Least Squares

**François Portier, Joseph Salmon**

<http://josephsalmon.eu>

Télécom Paristech, Institut Mines-Télécom

# Outline

The fixed and random design models

Coefficient estimation

Noise level

Random design model

Miscellaneous

# Table of Contents

The fixed and random design models

Coefficient estimation

Noise level

Random design model

Miscellaneous

# The fixed design model

## Model I

$$y_i = \theta_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^p \theta_k^* x_{i,k} + \varepsilon_i$$

$$x_i^\top = (1, x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,p}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$$

$$\varepsilon_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} \varepsilon, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon) = 0, \text{ Var}(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2$$

- ▶  $x_i$  is deterministic
- ▶  $\sigma^2$  is called the noise level

## Examples

- ▶ Physical experiment when the analyst is choosing the design e.g., temperature of the experiment
- ▶ Some features are not random e.g., time, location.

# The fixed design Gaussian model

## Model I with Gaussian noise

$$y_i = \theta_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^p \theta_k^* x_{i,k} + \varepsilon_i$$

$$x_i^\top = (1, x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,p}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$$

$$\varepsilon_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

## Examples

- ▶ Parametric model : specified by the two parameters  $(\theta, \sigma)$
- ▶ Strong assumption

# The random design model

## Model II

$$y_i = \theta_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^p \theta_k^* x_{i,k} + \varepsilon_i$$

$$x_i^\top = (1, x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,p}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$$

$$(\varepsilon_i, x_i) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} (\varepsilon, x), \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon|x) = 0, \text{ Var}(\varepsilon|x) = \sigma^2$$

Rem: here, the features are modelled as random (they might also suffer from some noise)

# The ordinary least squares (OLS) estimator

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( y_i - \theta_0 - \sum_{k=1}^p \theta_k x_{i,k} \right)^2$$

## How to deal with these two models?

- ▶ The estimator is the same for both models
- ▶ The mathematics involved are different for each case
- ▶ The study of the fixed design case is easier as many closed formulas are available
- ▶ The two models lead to the same estimators of the variance  $\sigma^2$

## Important formula

In both models, whenever  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (p+1)}$  has full rank,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

# Table of Contents

The fixed and random design models

Coefficient estimation

Bias

Estimation and prediction risk

Variance

Noise level

Random design model

Miscellaneous



# Bias

## Proposition

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, the least squares estimator is unbiased, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) = \theta^*$$

Proof :

$$B = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) - \theta^* = \mathbb{E}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T y) - \theta^*$$

# Bias

## Proposition

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, the least squares estimator is unbiased, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$$

Proof :

$$B = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \mathbb{E}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$$

$$B = \mathbb{E}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$$

# Bias

## Proposition

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, the least squares estimator is unbiased, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$$

Proof :

$$B = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \mathbb{E}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$$

$$B = \mathbb{E}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T (X \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$$

$$B = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = 0$$

# Bias

## Proposition

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, the least squares estimator is unbiased, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$$

Proof :

$$B = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \mathbb{E}((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbf{y}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$$

$$B = \mathbb{E}((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$$

$$B = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = 0$$

# Quadratic risk

## Definition

The **quadratic** risk is given by

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Euclidean norm

## Bias/Variance decomposition

$$\mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Proof :

$$\mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

# Quadratic risk

## Definition

The **quadratic** risk is given by

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Euclidean norm

## Bias/Variance decomposition

$$\mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 &= \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E}\langle \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \rangle\end{aligned}$$

# Quadratic risk

## Definition

The **quadratic** risk is given by

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Euclidean norm

## Bias/Variance decomposition

$$\mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 &= \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E}\langle \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2\end{aligned}$$

# Quadratic risk

## Definition

The **quadratic** risk is given by

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Euclidean norm

## Bias/Variance decomposition

$$\mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 &= \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E}\langle \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2\end{aligned}$$



# Bias/Variance decomposition

Reminder : as the bias vanishes when  $X$  has full rank,

$$\mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|^2$$

# The trace of a matrix

## Definition

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  denote a matrix. The **trace** of  $A$  is the sum of the diagonal elements of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{tr}(A)$  :

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}$$

Several properties :

- ▶  $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^T)$
- ▶ For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  
 $\text{tr}(\alpha A + B) = \alpha \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$  (linearity)

# The trace of a matrix

## Definition

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  denote a matrix. The **trace** of  $A$  is the sum of the diagonal elements of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{tr}(A)$  :

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}$$

Several properties :

- ▶  $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^T)$
- ▶ For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  
 $\text{tr}(\alpha A + B) = \alpha \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$  (linearity)
- ▶  $\text{tr}(A^T A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j}^2 := \|A\|_F^2$

# The trace of a matrix

## Definition

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  denote a matrix. The **trace** of  $A$  is the sum of the diagonal elements of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{tr}(A)$  :

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}$$

Several properties :

- ▶  $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^\top)$
- ▶ For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  
 $\text{tr}(\alpha A + B) = \alpha \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$  (linearity)
- ▶  $\text{tr}(A^\top A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j}^2 := \|A\|_F^2$
- ▶ For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$

# The trace of a matrix

## Definition

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  denote a matrix. The **trace** of  $A$  is the sum of the diagonal elements of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{tr}(A)$  :

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}$$

Several properties :

- ▶  $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^T)$
- ▶ For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  
 $\text{tr}(\alpha A + B) = \alpha \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$  (linearity)
- ▶  $\text{tr}(A^T A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j}^2 := \|A\|_F^2$
- ▶ For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$
- ▶  $\text{tr}(PAP^{-1}) = \text{tr}(A)$ , hence if  $A$  is diagonalisable, the trace is the sum of the eigenvalues

# The trace of a matrix

## Definition

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  denote a matrix. The **trace** of  $A$  is the sum of the diagonal elements of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{tr}(A)$  :

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}$$

Several properties :

- ▶  $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^\top)$
- ▶ For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  
 $\text{tr}(\alpha A + B) = \alpha \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$  (linearity)
- ▶  $\text{tr}(A^\top A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j}^2 := \|A\|_F^2$
- ▶ For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$
- ▶  $\text{tr}(PAP^{-1}) = \text{tr}(A)$ , hence if  $A$  is diagonalisable, the trace is the sum of the eigenvalues
- ▶ If  $H$  is an orthogonal projector  $\text{tr}(H) = \text{rank}(H)$

# The trace of a matrix

## Definition

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  denote a matrix. The **trace** of  $A$  is the sum of the diagonal elements of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{tr}(A)$  :

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}$$

Several properties :

- ▶  $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^\top)$
- ▶ For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  
 $\text{tr}(\alpha A + B) = \alpha \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$  (linearity)
- ▶  $\text{tr}(A^\top A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j}^2 := \|A\|_F^2$
- ▶ For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$
- ▶  $\text{tr}(PAP^{-1}) = \text{tr}(A)$ , hence if  $A$  is diagonalisable, the trace is the sum of the eigenvalues
- ▶ If  $H$  is an orthogonal projector  $\text{tr}(H) = \text{rank}(H)$

## Estimation risk

$$\text{Estimation risk } R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr} \left( (X^\top X)^{-1} \right)$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \end{aligned}$$



## Estimation risk

Estimation risk  $R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)\right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr}\left((X^\top X)^{-1}\right)$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\right)^\top \left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)^\top \left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-2} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

## Estimation risk

$$\text{Estimation risk } R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr} \left( (X^\top X)^{-1} \right)$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right] = \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-2} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr} [\mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] \quad (\text{thx to } \text{tr}(u^\top u) = u^\top u) \end{aligned}$$

## Estimation risk

Estimation risk  $R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)\right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr}\left((X^\top X)^{-1}\right)$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\right)^\top \left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)^\top \left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-2} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr}\left[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right] \text{ (thx to } \text{tr}(u^\top u) = u^\top u) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\text{tr}\left[(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1}\right]\right) \end{aligned}$$

## Estimation risk

Estimation risk  $R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr} \left( (X^\top X)^{-1} \right)$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right] = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-2} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] \text{ (thx to } \text{tr}(u^\top u) = u^\top u) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( \text{tr} \left[ (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} \right] \right) \\ &= \text{tr} \left[ (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top) X (X^\top X)^{-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

## Estimation risk

Estimation risk  $R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)\right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr}\left((X^\top X)^{-1}\right)$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\right)^\top \left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)^\top \left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-2} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr}\left[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right] \text{ (thx to } \text{tr}(u^\top u) = u^\top u) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\text{tr}\left[(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1}\right]\right) \\ &= \text{tr}\left[(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top) X (X^\top X)^{-1}\right] \\ &= \sigma^2 \text{tr}\left((X^\top X)^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

## Estimation risk

Estimation risk  $R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)\right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr}\left((X^\top X)^{-1}\right)$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\right)^\top \left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)^\top \left((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-2} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr}\left[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right] \text{ (thx to } \text{tr}(u^\top u) = u^\top u) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\text{tr}\left[(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1}\right]\right) \\ &= \text{tr}\left[(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top) X (X^\top X)^{-1}\right] \\ &= \sigma^2 \text{tr}\left((X^\top X)^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

## Prediction risk

Prediction risk (normalized)  $R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2/n$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \left( \frac{X^\top X}{n} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \frac{\text{rank}(X)}{n}$$

Because  $X$  has full rank,  $\text{rank}(X) = p + 1$ .

Proof : As before

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (X^\top X) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X) (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

## Prediction risk

Prediction risk (normalized)  $R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2/n$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \left( \frac{X^\top X}{n} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \frac{\text{rank}(X)}{n}$$

Because  $X$  has full rank,  $\text{rank}(X) = p + 1$ .

Proof : As before

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (X^\top X) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X) (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$



## Prediction risk

Prediction risk (normalized)  $R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2/n$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \left( \frac{X^\top X}{n} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \frac{\text{rank}(X)}{n}$$

Because  $X$  has full rank,  $\text{rank}(X) = p + 1$ .

Proof : As before

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (X^\top X) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X) (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] = \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] \end{aligned}$$

## Prediction risk

Prediction risk (normalized)  $R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2/n$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \left( \frac{X^\top X}{n} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \frac{\text{rank}(X)}{n}$$

Because  $X$  has full rank,  $\text{rank}(X) = p + 1$ .

Proof : As before

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (X^\top X) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X) (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr} [\mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] = \text{tr} [\mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] \\ &= \text{tr} [\mathbb{E} (H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X^\top)] = \text{tr} (H_X \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top) H_X^\top) \end{aligned}$$

## Prediction risk

Prediction risk (normalized)  $R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2/n$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \left( \frac{X^\top X}{n} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \frac{\text{rank}(X)}{n}$$

Because  $X$  has full rank,  $\text{rank}(X) = p + 1$ .

Proof : As before

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (X^\top X) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X) (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] = \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X^\top)] = \text{tr}(H_X \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top) H_X^\top) \\ &= \sigma^2 \text{tr}(H_X) = \sigma^2 \text{rank}(H_X) = \sigma^2 \text{rank}(X) \end{aligned}$$

## Prediction risk

Prediction risk (normalized)  $R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2/n$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \left( \frac{X^\top X}{n} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \frac{\text{rank}(X)}{n}$$

Because  $X$  has full rank,  $\text{rank}(X) = p + 1$ .

Proof : As before

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (X^\top X) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X) (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] = \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X^\top)] = \text{tr}(H_X \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top) H_X^\top) \\ &= \sigma^2 \text{tr}(H_X) = \sigma^2 \text{rank}(H_X) = \sigma^2 \text{rank}(X) \end{aligned}$$

# Covariance matrix

## Covariance of $\hat{\theta}$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta}) = \sigma^2(X^\top X)^{-1}$$

Proof :

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta})$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})^\top \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)^\top \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon) - \theta^*)((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon) - \theta^*)^\top \right]$$

# Covariance matrix

## Covariance of $\hat{\theta}$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta}) = \sigma^2(X^\top X)^{-1}$$

Proof :

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta})$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})^\top \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)^\top \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon) - \theta^*) ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon) - \theta^*)^\top \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \varepsilon) ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \varepsilon)^\top \right]$$

# Covariance matrix

## Covariance of $\hat{\theta}$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta}) = \sigma^2(X^\top X)^{-1}$$

Proof :

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta})$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})^\top \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)^\top \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon) - \theta^*) ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon) - \theta^*)^\top \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \varepsilon) ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \varepsilon)^\top \right]$$

$$= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E} [\varepsilon \varepsilon^\top] X (X^\top X)^{-1}$$

# Covariance matrix

## Covariance of $\hat{\theta}$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta}) = \sigma^2(X^\top X)^{-1}$$

Proof :

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})^\top \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon) - \theta^*)((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon) - \theta^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \varepsilon)((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \varepsilon)^\top \right] \\ &= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E} [\varepsilon \varepsilon^\top] X (X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (\sigma^2 \text{Id}_n) X (X^\top X)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$



# Covariance matrix

## Covariance of $\hat{\theta}$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta}) = \sigma^2(X^\top X)^{-1}$$

Proof :

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})^\top \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon) - \theta^*)((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon) - \theta^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \varepsilon)((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \varepsilon)^\top \right] \\ &= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E} [\varepsilon \varepsilon^\top] X (X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (\sigma^2 \text{Id}_n) X (X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 (X^\top X)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

# Covariance matrix

## Covariance of $\hat{\theta}$

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta}) = \sigma^2(X^\top X)^{-1}$$

Proof :

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})^\top \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon) - \theta^*)((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon) - \theta^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \varepsilon)((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \varepsilon)^\top \right] \\ &= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E} [\varepsilon \varepsilon^\top] X (X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (\sigma^2 \text{Id}_n) X (X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 (X^\top X)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

# Table of Contents

The fixed and random design models

Coefficient estimation

Noise level

- Estimation of the noise level

- Heteroscedasticity

- Gaussian noise

Random design model

Miscellaneous

## Estimation of the noise level

- ▶ An estimator of the noise level  $\sigma^2$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{y} - X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|_2^2$$

- ▶ Another estimator which is unbiased is defined by

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n - \text{rank}(X)} \|\mathbf{y} - X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|_2^2$$

## Estimation of the noise level

$\hat{\sigma}^2$  is unbiased

Under model I, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2$$

Proof :

$$\|\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|_2^2 = \mathbf{y}^\top (\text{Id}_n - H_X) \mathbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top (\text{Id}_n - H_X) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \text{tr}((\text{Id}_n - H_X) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top)$$

# Heteroscedasticity

Model I and Model II are homoscedastic models, *i.e.*, we assume that the noise level  $\sigma^2$  does not depend on  $x_i$

Heteroscedastic Model : we allow  $\sigma^2$  to change with the observation  $i$ , we denote by  $\sigma_i^2 > 0$  the associated variance

$$\hat{\theta} \in \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{y_i - \langle \theta, x_i \rangle}{\sigma_i} \right)^2 = \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}} (y - X\theta)^\top \Omega (y - X\theta)$$

with  $\Omega = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2})$

---

**Exo:** give a closed formula for  $\hat{\theta}$  when  $X^\top \Omega X$  has full rank

---

**Exo:** give a necessary and sufficient condition for  $X^\top \Omega X$  to be invertible

---

# Gaussian model

## Proposition

Under model I with Gaussian noise, whenever the matrix  $X$  has full rank, we have

- (i)  $\hat{\theta}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}$  are independent random variables
- (ii)  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(X^\top X/n)^{-1})$  for every  $n$
- (iii)  $(n - \text{rank}(X)) \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^{*2}} \sim \chi_{n - \text{rank}(X)}^2$  for every  $n$
- (iv) Let  $\hat{s}_k = (X^\top X/n)_{k,k}^{-1}$ ,

$$\sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta^*}{\sqrt{\hat{s}_k \hat{\sigma}^2}} \right) \sim \mathcal{T}_{n - \text{rank}(X)}$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{n - \text{rank}(X)}$  stands for a student distribution with  $n - \text{rank}(X)$  degrees of freedom

# Table of Contents

The fixed and random design models

Coefficient estimation

Noise level

Random design model

Bias and variance

Miscellaneous



## Bias and variance

### Proposition

Under model II, whenever the matrix  $X = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)^\top$  has full rank, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} | X) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} | X) = (X^\top X)^{-1} \sigma^2$$

Proof : The same as in the case of fixed design with the conditional expectation

Rem: We cannot compute the  $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$  nor  $\text{Var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$  because the matrix  $X$  has full rank is now random !

Rem: One solution is to rely on asymptotic convergence

# Asymptotics

## Asymptotics of $\hat{\theta}$

Under model II, whenever the covariance matrix  $\text{cov}(X)$  has full rank, we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 S^{-1})$$

with  $S = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^\top]$

Outline of the proof : It could happen that  $\hat{\theta}$  is not uniquely defined, so we put

$$\hat{\theta} = (X^\top X)^+ X^\top Y$$

where  $A^+$  is the generalized inverse of  $A$

- ▶ With high probability, we have that  $X^\top X$  is invertible because  $\frac{X^\top X}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top$  goes to  $S$

# Asymptotics

Outline of the proof :

- ▶ As a consequence, in the asymptotics we can replace  $(X^\top X)^+$  by  $(X^\top X)^{-1}$  (that we shall admit)

Then we use that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) = \left( \frac{X^\top X}{n} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

- ▶ The term on the right  $\frac{X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{n}}$  converges to  $\mathcal{N}(0, \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^\top]\sigma^2)$  in distribution
- ▶ The term on the left  $\left( \frac{X^\top X}{n} \right)^{-1}$  goes to  $S^{-1}$  in probability

# Asymptotics

- ▶ In the random design model, since closed formulas for the bias and variance of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  are lacking; Asymptotics is used to validate the procedure and to build-up the variance estimator

## Variance estimation

By the previous Proposition, the variance to estimate is

$$\sigma^2 S^{-1}$$

a natural “Plug-in” estimator is

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{S}_n^+$$

with  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n - \text{rank}(X)} \|\mathbf{y} - X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|_2^2$

Rem: It coincides with the estimator in the case of fixed design

## Variance estimation

### Noise level is conditionally unbiased

Under model II, whenever the matrix  $X = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)^\top$  has full rank, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\sigma}^2 | X) = \sigma^2$$

---

**Exo:** Write the proof

---

### Convergence of the variance estimator

Under model II, if the covariance matrix  $\text{cov}(X)$  has full rank, we have

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{S}_n^+ \rightarrow \sigma^2 S^{-1}$$

in probability

# Table of Contents

The fixed and random design models

Coefficient estimation

Noise level

Random design model

**Miscellaneous**

Qualitative variables

Large dimension  $p > n$

# Qualitative variables

A variable is qualitative, when its state space is discrete (non-necessarily numeric)

Exemple : colors, gender, cities, etc.

Classically : “One-hot encoder” consists in representing a qualitative variable with several dummy variables (valued in  $\{0, 1\}$ )

If each  $x_i$  is valued in  $a_1, \dots, a_K$ , we define the following  $K$  explanatory variables :  $\forall k \in \llbracket 1, K \rrbracket, \mathbb{1}_{a_k} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$\forall i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket, \quad (\mathbb{1}_{a_k})_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_i = a_k \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

## Examples

Binary case : M/F, yes/no, I like it/I don't.

Client	Gender
1	H
2	F
3	H
4	F
5	F

→

$$\begin{pmatrix} F & H \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

General case : colors, cities, etc.

Client	Colors
1	Blue
2	Blanc
3	Red
4	Red
5	Blue

→

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Blue} & \text{Blanc} & \text{Red} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



## Somme difficulties

Correlations :  $\sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{1}_{a_k} = \mathbf{1}_n$  ! We can drop-off one modality (e.g., `drop_first=True` dans `get_dummies` de pandas)

Without intercept, with all modalities :  $X = [\mathbb{1}_{a_1}, \dots, \mathbb{1}_{a_K}]$ . If  $x_{n+1} = a_k$  then  $\hat{y}_{n+1} = \hat{\theta}_k$

With intercept, with one less modality :  $X = [\mathbf{1}_n, \mathbb{1}_{a_2}, \dots, \mathbb{1}_{a_K}]$ , dropping-off the first modality

If  $x_{n+1} = a_k$  then  $\hat{y}_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \hat{\theta}_0, & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \hat{\theta}_0 + \hat{\theta}_k, & \text{else} \end{cases}$

Rem: might give null column in Cross-Validation (if a modality is not present in a CV-fold)

Rem: penalization might help (e.g., Lasso, Ridge)

---

**Exo**: Compute the OLS for  $X = [\mathbb{1}_{a_1}, \dots, \mathbb{1}_{a_K}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$

---

## What if $n < p$ ?

Many of the things presented before need to be adapted

For instance : if  $\text{rank}(X) = n$ , then  $H_X = \text{Id}_n$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathbf{y}$  !  
The vector space generated by the columns  $[\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p]$  is  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  
making the observed signal and predicted signal are **identical**

Rem: typical kind of problem in large dimension (when  $p$  is large)

Possible solution : variable selection, *cf.* Lasso and greedy methods  
(coming soon)

## Web sites and books

- ▶ Python Packages for OLS :  
`statsmodels`  
`sklearn.linear_model.LinearRegression`
- ▶ McKinney (2012) about python for statistics
- ▶ Lejeune (2010) about the Linear Model
- ▶ Delyon (2015) Advanced course on regression  
<https://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/bernard.delyon/regression.pdf>

# References I

- ▶ B. Delyon.  
Régression, 2015.  
<https://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/bernard.delyon/regression.pdf>.
- ▶ M. Lejeune.  
*Statistiques, la théorie et ses applications*.  
Springer, 2010.
- ▶ W. McKinney.  
*Python for Data Analysis : Data Wrangling with Pandas, NumPy, and IPython*.  
O'Reilly Media, 2012.